UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WAR 1984

DATE:

2 9 OCT 1984

SUBJECT.

High Concentration of Lead in Chicago Area Park Samples

Dave Kee, Diréctor

Air Management Division

TO:

Basil Constantelos, Director Waste Management Division

EPA Region 5 Records Ctr.

304016

Attached is a Board of Health memo listing the results of analyses for lead. performed on a number of soil samples gathered in the Chicago area. All but two of the samples are from park areas. The samples were reportedly taken some distance from the major arteries used to identify sample locations. I feel that the high concentrations evidenced in these areas, combined with the use of these parks as play areas for small children are cause for concern and further investigation. I would appreciate your review and scoring of these sites and your consideration for possible clean-up action under Superfund.

NOV 15 1984

IEPA-DLPC

li

September 5, 1984

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dr. Bernard J. Turnock, M.P.H.

Deputy Commissioner

FROM:

Dr. Ronald L. Foreman

Toxicologist

THROUGH:

Dr. Hyman G. Orbach

Director of Laboratorie

RE:

Analysis-Soil Samples

The soil samples submitted by your office have been re-analyzed for lead content, using a more rigorous preparative procedure. This effort was deemed necessary due to the extreme heterogeneity of most samples, noted in the preliminary report (8/28/84).

Lab. No.	<u>%Pb</u> *	2010
S-10	0.14%	, ,
S-11	0.34	3,400
S-12	0.23	2,300
S-13	0.61	5,10 C
S-14	0.13	
S-15	0.14	
S-16	0.23	
S-17	0.14	
S-18	0.32	
	S-10 S-11 S-12 S-13 S-14 S-15 S-16 S-17	S-10 0.14% S-11 0.34 S-12 0.23 S-13 0.61 S-14 0.13 S-15 0.14 S-16 0.23 S-17 0.14

^{*}Average of Duplicate Samples

Note: Conversion Factor- PPM = %Pb x 10⁴

Continued...

NOV 15 1984

Memorandum to Dr. Turnock
September 5, 1984
Page Two

Discussion and Conclusion

A. First Test Series

As indicated in the preliminary report (8/28/84), test results including gross examination of the submitted samples, suggested the following conclusions:

- 1. Most submitted samples are extremely heterogenous with respect to lead content and soil type.
- 2. Most samples contained various foreign matter, such as glass fragments and other non-soil materials of undetermined chemical composition.
- 3. The sample collection techniques employed were not consistent with commonly accepted methods.

B. Comparison of Methodologies

Discussions with other laboratories made clear the fact that there is no single accepted procedure for analyses of this type. Different laboratories use widely differing methods. However, Dr. Hinesly(Univ. of Illinois-Urbana) with 16 years experience in the field, expressed the opinion that our procedure was preferable to most others discussed.

C. Second Test Series

Results of the present test series are based on methodology which includes vigorous blending of the soil samples, followed by ashing and nitric acid digestion. Evaluation of these test results permit the following observations and conclusions:

- The range of values between duplicate determinations of the same soil samples, in a few cases, demonstrated significant heterogeneity, considering the vigorous blending and mixing technique employed.
- 2. An alternative method(simple digestion) gave generally lower results than those obtained by our method(see above). This finding indicates that simple digestion is an inadequate method total recovery of lead contained in some soils.
- 3. The unusually high results obtained in the first test series, for some samples(e.g., 5.4% Pb for # S-4B), strongly suggests the presence of high lead content in some non-soil particulates. This further illustrates the importance of appropriate sample collection techniques.

NOV 15 1984



1 Tsp. ≥ 5 grm Soil

0.1% Pb = 1,000 ppm = 1,000 MPb/gm (definition)

1 Tsp (5 gm) = 5,000 µ 4Pb at 0.1% Pb (definition)

Ingestion
of (1) tsp. (5 gm)
of Soil containing
0.1% Pb

- Approx. 33 x the maximum daily acceptable level of lead (150 pg)

REPENSEN

NOV 15 1984

IEPA-DLPC